

ON PRECONTINUOUS AND α -PRECONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

ZBIGNIEW DUSZYNSKI

ABSTRACT : Precontinuity and α -precontinuity of mappings in topological spaces are considered. Another properties of these types of mappings and interrelationships with some other types are studied. Some observations concerning Hausdorffness, normality and β -connectedness of spaces are given.

Key words and phrases : Preopen, α -open, semi-open, simply open sets; α -precontinuity, precontinuity, semi-continuity, α -continuity, a.c.S.; submaximal, β -connected, Hausdorff, normal, \mathcal{D} -spaces.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C08.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mashhour et al. [28] introduced the notion of precontinuous mapping which coincides with almost continuity in the sense of Husain [16] (briefly: a.c.H.). Quite recently, Beceren and Noiri [4] have defined α -precontinuous mappings which are of stronger form of continuity than those precontinuous. Some interrelationships with other known classes of mappings can be found in [4]. In present paper we continue investigations concerning α -precontinuous and precontinuous mappings in context of several types of 'open' and 'continuous' mappings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, by (X, τ) , (Y, σ) , ... we mean topological spaces (briefly: spaces) on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. The Cartesian product topology for spaces (X, τ) and (Y, σ) will be denoted by $\tau \times \sigma$. Let S be a subset of an (X, τ) . The closure of S and the interior of S (both in (X, τ)) are denoted by $\text{cl}_\tau(S)$ (or $\text{cl}(S)$) and $\text{int}_\tau(S)$ (or $\text{int}(S)$), respectively. A subset $S \subset X$ is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*) in (X, τ) if $S = \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))$ (resp. $S = \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$). The family of all closed (resp. regular open, regular closed) subsets of a space (X, τ) will be denoted by $c(X, \tau)$ (resp. $\text{RO}(X, \tau)$, $\text{RC}(X, \tau)$). A subset S of (X, τ) is said to be α -open [32] (resp. *semi-open* [21], *preopen* [28], *semi-preopen* [2] or equivalently β -open [1]) if $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(S)))$ (resp. $S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$, $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))$, $S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)))$). The complement of an α -open (resp. semi-open, preopen, semi-preopen) set is called α -closed (resp. *semi-closed*, *preclosed*, *semi-preclosed*). The family of all α -open (resp. semi-open, preopen, semi-preopen) subsets of (X, τ) will be denoted by

τ^α (resp. $SO(X, \tau)$, $PO(X, \tau)$, $SPO(X, \tau)$). The family of all semi-closed (resp. preclosed, semi-preclosed) subsets of (X, τ) we denote by $SC(X, \tau)$ (resp. $PC(X, \tau)$, $SPC(X, \tau)$). The following inclusions are known for any space (X, τ) : $\tau \subset \tau^\alpha = SO(X, \tau) \cap PO(X, \tau)$ ([39, Lemma 3.1] or [45, Lemma 2]), $SO(X, \tau) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$ and $PO(X, \tau) \subset SPO(X, \tau)$. For each (X, τ) the family τ^α forms a topology on X [32, Proposition 2] which is strictly distinct from τ , in general. We have $(\tau^\alpha)^\alpha = \tau^\alpha$ [32, Proposition 10] for each (X, τ) . If $\mathcal{A} \subset SO(X, \tau)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A} \subset PO(X, \tau)$), then $\cup \mathcal{A} \in SO(X, \tau)$ [21, Theorem 2] (resp. $\cup \mathcal{A} \in PO(X, \tau)$ [28]).

With a standard method, for any space (X, τ) and a subset $S \subset X$ one defines [2] the *preinterior* of S ($\text{pint}_\tau(S)$), the *semi-preinterior* of S ($\text{spint}_\tau(S)$), the *preclosure* of S ($\text{pcl}_\tau(S)$), and the *semi-preclosure* of S ($\text{spcl}_\tau(S)$) as respectively: the union of all preopen (resp. semi-preopen) subsets of (X, τ) contained in S and the intersection of all preclosed (resp. semi-preclosed) subsets of (X, τ) containing S .

The following formulas are known: $\text{pcl}_\tau(S) = S \cup \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(S))$ [2, Theorem 1.5(e)], $\text{pint}_\tau(S) = S \cap \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(S))$ [2, Theorem 1.5(f)]. A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be α -*precontinuous* [4] (resp. *precontinuous* [28], *semicontinuous* [21], α -*continuous* [30], α -*irresolute* [27], *almost continuous in the sense of Singal and Singal* [48] or briefly *a.c.S.*) if $f^{-1}(V) \in PO(X, \tau)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(V) \in PO(X, \tau)$, $f^{-1}(V) \in SO(X, \tau)$, $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^\alpha$, $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^\alpha$, $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau$) for every set $V \in \sigma^\alpha$ (resp. $V \in \sigma$, $V \in \sigma$, $V \in \sigma$, $V \in \sigma^\alpha$, $V \in RO(X, \tau)$ [48, Theorem 2.2(b)]).

A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called *contra-continuous* [7] (resp. *contra-semicontinuous* [8], *contra-precontinuous* [17]) if $f^{-1}(V) \in c(X, \tau)$ (resp. $f^{-1}(V) \in SC(X, \tau)$, $f^{-1}(V) \in PC(X, \tau)$) for every $V \in \sigma$.

3. α -PRECONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

In [4] the authors gave several characterizations of α -precontinuity. In the following theorem we offer another characterizations of this type of continuity.

Theorem 1. For a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is α -precontinuous.
- (2) $\text{pcl}_\tau(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(B))$ for every subset $B \subset Y$.
- (3) $f(\text{pcl}_\tau(A)) \subset \text{cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(f(A))$ for every subset $A \subset X$.
- (4) $f^{-1}(\text{int}_{\sigma^\alpha}(S)) \in \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(S)))$ for every subset $S \subset Y$.
- (5) $f^{-1}(\text{int}_{\sigma^\alpha}(S)) \subset \text{pint}_\tau(f^{-1}(S))$ for every subset $S \subset Y$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let f be α -precontinuous. Then $\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(B))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(B))$ for every subset $B \subset Y$ [4, Theorem 3.1(f)]. Our inclusion follows from [2, Theorem 1.5(e)].

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Obvious by [2, Theorem 1.5(e)] and [4, Theorem 3.1(f)].

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3). This follows immediately from [4, Theorem 3.1(g)] and [2, Theorem 1.5(e)].

(1) \Leftrightarrow (4). Let S be any subset of Y and let $B = Y \setminus S$. Utilizing [4, Theorem 3.1(f)] we calculate as follows: $\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(B))) \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(B))$ iff $X \setminus f^{-1}(\text{cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(B)) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(X \setminus f^{-1}(B)))$ iff $f^{-1}(\text{int}_{\sigma^\alpha}(S)) \in \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(S)))$.

(4) \Leftrightarrow (5). Apply [2, Theorem 1.5(f)].

The following result has been obtained by Beceren and Noiri.

Theorem 2. [4, Theorem 3.2]. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be arbitrarily chosen spaces and let the graph mapping $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$ for an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be given via $g(x) = (x, f(x))$ for each $x \in X$. If g is α -precontinuous then f is α -precontinuous.*

For a.c.S., a.c.H., and w.c. [35] mappings, theorems of the above type are reversible; see respectively [23, Theorems 1&2], [35, Theorem 1]. Also, in the cases of a. α .c. [41], s.w.c. [42], p.a. α .c. [11], and p.s.w.c. [11] mappings, reversibilities of this kind are possible; see [11].

We are to show that under a certain condition imposed on (X, τ) and (Y, σ) , the converse of Theorem 2 holds.

We offer another proof of the following assertion.

Lemma 1. [13, p. 136]. *Let (X, τ) be a space, $U \in \tau^\alpha$ and $V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. Then $U \cap V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.*

Proof. We have $U \cap V \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(U))) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(V))$. From [39, Lemma 3.5] we infer that $U \cap V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

Definition 2. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be spaces. Then*

$$(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha = (\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha$$

Proof. First, we will show the inclusion

$$(1) \quad \tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha \subset (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha.$$

Let $S \in \tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha$. Then $S = \cup_{i \in J} S_i^1 \times S_i^2$ where $S_i^1 \in \tau^\alpha$ and $S_i^2 \in \sigma^\alpha$ for each $i \in J$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} S &\subset \bigcup_{i \in J} \left(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(S_i^1))) \times \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(\text{int}_\sigma(S_i^2))) \right) \\ &= \bigcup_{i \in J} \left(\text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma}(\text{cl}_{\tau \times \sigma}(\text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma}(S_i^1 \times S_i^2))) \right) \subset \text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma}(\text{cl}_{\tau \times \sigma}(\text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma}(S))) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $S \in (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha$. The next inclusion to be shown is

$$(2) (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha \subset (\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha.$$

Let $W \in (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha$. Then we have

$$W \subset \text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau \times \sigma} \left(\text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma} (W) \right) \right) \subset \text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau \times \sigma} \left(\text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma} (W) \right) \right).$$

By [14, Lemma 1(i)] and (1) we get

$$W \subset \text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} \left(\text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha} \left(\text{int}_{\tau \times \sigma} (W) \right) \right) \subset \text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} \left(\text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} (W) \right) \right).$$

Thus, $W \in (\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha$. We shall show now that

$$(3) (\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha \subset (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha.$$

Let $V \in (\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha$. Hence $V \subset \text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} \left(\text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} (V) \right) \right)$. By (1) and [14, Lemma 1(i)] we obtain

$$V \subset \text{int}_{(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha} \left(\text{cl}_{(\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha} \left(\text{int}_{\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha} (V) \right) \right).$$

By (2) and (1) we get $V \subset \text{int}_{(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha} \left(\text{cl}_{(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha} \left(\text{int}_{(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha} (V) \right) \right)$. This shows that

$$V \in \left((\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha \right)^\alpha = (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha;$$

see [32]. Eventually, inclusions (2) and (3) complete the proof.

Corollary 1. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be such spaces that*

$$(4) (\tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha)^\alpha \subset \tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha$$

Then $(\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha = \tau^\alpha \times \sigma^\alpha$.

Theorem 2. *Let (X, τ) , (Y, σ) be spaces and let mappings f and g be as in Theorem 2. If (4) holds and if f is α -precontinuous, then g is α -precontinuous.*

Proof. Suppose f is α -precontinuous. Let $x \in X$ and let $W \in (\tau \times \sigma)^\alpha$ be any set containing $g(x)$. By (4) and by Corollary 1 there exist sets $U \in \tau^\alpha$, $V \in \sigma^\alpha$, such that $g(x) = (x, f(x)) \in U \times V \subset W$. Since f is α -precontinuous, there is a set $U_1 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ containing x such

that $f(U_1) \subset V$ [4, Theorem 3.1(c)]. Thus, $f(U \cap U_1) \subset V$ where $x \in U \cap U_1 \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ by Lemma 1. So we obtain $g(U \cap U_1) \subset U \times V \subset W$. This shows that g is α -precontinuous.

For every *totally disconnected* space (X, τ) (each open set is closed), we have $\tau = \tau^\alpha$ [19, Theorem 3.3].

Corollary 2. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be such spaces that the space $(X \times Y, \tau \times \sigma)$ is totally disconnected and let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$. Then, the graph mapping g of f is α -precontinuous if and only if f is α -precontinuous.

Theorem 3. Let for $i = 1, 2$, (X_i, τ_i) be arbitrary spaces and (Y_i, σ_i) be spaces fulfilling the condition $(\sigma_1^\alpha \times \sigma_2^\alpha)^\alpha \subset \sigma_1^\alpha \times \sigma_2^\alpha$. Then, mappings $f_i: (X_i, \tau_i) \rightarrow (Y_i, \sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, are α -precontinuous if and only if the product mapping $f: (X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$, defined via $f(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$ for each $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, is α -precontinuous.

Proof. Sufficiency. [4, Theorem 3.4].

Necessity. Let f_i be α -precontinuous, $i = 1, 2$, and let $W \in (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)^\alpha$. By Corollary 1 we have $W \in \sigma_1^\alpha \times \sigma_2^\alpha$, and so $W = \cup_{j \in J} W_1^j \times W_2^j$ where $W_1^j \in \sigma_1^\alpha$, $W_2^j \in \sigma_2^\alpha$ for each $j \in J$. Using [4, Theorem 3.1(d)], for any chosen $j \in J$ we calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(W_1^j \times W_2^j) &= f_1^{-1}(W_1^j) \times f_2^{-1}(W_2^j) \subset \text{int}_{\tau_1} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau_1} \left(f_1^{-1}(W_1^j) \right) \right) \times \text{int}_{\tau_2} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau_2} \left(f_2^{-1}(W_2^j) \right) \right) \\ &= \text{int}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left(f^{-1}(W_1^j \times W_2^j) \right) \right) \subset \text{int}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left(f^{-1}(W) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f^{-1}(W) \subset \text{int}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left(\text{cl}_{\tau_1 \times \tau_2} \left(f^{-1}(W) \right) \right)$ and consequently f is α -precontinuous.

A subset S of a space (X, τ) is said to be *simply open* [6], if $S = O \cup N$ where $O \in \tau$ and N is nowhere dense in (X, τ) . A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called *simply continuous* [6] if the preimage $f^{-1}(G)$ is simply open in (X, τ) for each $G \in \sigma$.

Each semi-open subset of a space (X, τ) is simply open in (X, τ) [21, Theorem 7]. Thus, any semi-continuous mapping is simply continuous. The converse is not the truth [6, Example 1.1.2].

Lemma 3. If a mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is α -precontinuous and contra-continuous, then $f^{-1}(S) \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$ for every simply open subset S of (Y, σ) .

Proof. Let $S = O \cup N$ be simply open in (Y, σ) ($O \in \tau$ and N is nowhere dense). Then, $f^{-1}(S) = f^{-1}(O) \cup f^{-1}(N)$ where $U_1 = f^{-1}(O) \in \text{c}(X, \tau)$ and $U_2 = f^{-1}(N) \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$ [4, Theorem

3.7]. Applying a dual equality to that of [39, lemma 3.5] we obtain $f^{-1}(S) = U_1 \cup U_2 \supset \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(U_1)) \cup \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(U_2)) = \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(U_1 \cup U_2)) = \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(S)))$. Therefore $f^{-1}(S) \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 4. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ be given mappings. If g is simply continuous, f is α -precontinuous and contra-continuous, then $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is contra-precontinuous.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.

Theorem 5. Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be α -precontinuous, continuous, contra-semicontinuous, and let (Y, σ) be a \mathcal{T}_1 -space. Then, for each $y \in Y$ and for each $V \in \sigma$ such that $y \in \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(V))$ we have $f^{-1}(V \cup \{y\}) \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Let $V \in \sigma$ be arbitrarily chosen. Remark that if $y \in V$, then up to continuity and contra-semicontinuity of f we get $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$. By α -precontinuity of f [4, Theorem 3.8] for every $y \in \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(V))$ we have $f^{-1}(V \cup \{y\}) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(V \cup \{y\}))) = \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(V)) \cup \text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\{y\}))) \subset \text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\{y\})) \cup \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(V)))$ [2, Lemma 1.1.(b)]. Since f is continuous and (Y, σ) is a \mathcal{T}_1 -space, $\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\{y\})) = f^{-1}(\{y\})$. From contra-semicontinuity of f we infer that $f^{-1}(\{y\}) \cup \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(V))) \subset f^{-1}(V \cup \{y\})$. Hence clearly, $f^{-1}(V \cup \{y\}) \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$.

Remark 1. Continuity and α -precontinuity are independent of each other [4, Examples 2.1 & 2.2]

Theorem 6. Assume an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ has the following : for each $y \in Y$ and each $V \in \sigma$ with $y \in \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(V))$, the preimage $f^{-1}(V \cup \{y\})$ is in $\text{RO}(X, \tau)$. Then, f is α -precontinuous, continuous, and contra-semicontinuous.

Proof. The α -precontinuity of f is clear by [4, Theorem 3.8]. Let $V \in \sigma$ be arbitrary and let $y \in V$. By $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ it follows evidently that f is continuous and contra-semicontinuous.

4. PRECONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

It is known that each α -precontinuous mapping is precontinuous and that the converse is not true, in general [4, Remark]. Thus, results concerning precontinuous mappings hold also in the α -precontinuity case. Some of them are not mentioned in [4] the reader is advised to see for instance [29, Theorems 2.3 & 2.4 & 2.5].

We recall that for every two a.c.S. mappings f_1, f_2 from a space (X, τ) into a Hausdorff space (Y, σ) , the set $\{x \in X : f_1(x) = f_2(x)\}$ is closed in (X, τ) [24, Theorem 4]. This result is obviously true for any two mappings f_1, f_2 with a stronger type of continuity than a.c.S. (see for instance [40, Diagram p. 249]), in particular for *completely continuous* mappings [3]. On the other hand, the following is evident.

Remark 2. A mapping $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is precontinuous and contra-semicontinuous if and only if it is completely continuous.

Theorem 7. Let (X, τ) be arbitrary, (Y, σ) be Hausdorff, and let mappings $f_1, f_2: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be given. If f_1 is α -continuous and f_2 is precontinuous, then $A = \{x \in X : f_1(x) = f_2(x)\} \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Let x be any point of $X \setminus A$. Hence $f_1(x) \neq f_2(x)$ and since (Y, σ) is Hausdorff, there exist sets $V_1, V_2 \in \sigma$ such that $f_1(x) \in V_1, f_2(x) \in V_2$, and $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$.

By Lemma 1 we have $x \in U_x = f_1^{-1}(V_1) \cap f_2^{-1}(V_2) \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$. We will show that $U_x \subset X \setminus A$. Suppose not. There exists a point $x' \in U_x$ such that $x' \in A$. Hence $f_1(x') = f_2(x') \in V_2$. This implies that $x' \in f_1^{-1}(V_1) \cap f_1^{-1}(V_2) = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, the set $X \setminus A$ is preopen and consequently $A \in \text{PC}(X, \tau)$.

Remark 3. (a) [25, Example 1] and [48, Example 2.1] show that precontinuity and a.c.S. are independent notions [23, p. 413].

(b) [39, Examples 3.9 & 3.10] show that α -continuity and a.c.S. are independent of each other.

An analysis of the proof of Theorem 7 leads to the following slight improvement of [15, Theorem 2.6(1)]. We use the fact that in any (X, τ) , if $U \in \tau^\alpha$ and $V \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, then $U \cap V \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ [32].

Theorem 8. Let (X, τ) be arbitrary, (Y, σ) be Hausdorff, and $f_1, f_2: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$. If f_1 is α -continuous and f_2 is semi-continuous, then the set $A = \{x \in X : f_1(x) = f_2(x)\} \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$.

Remark 4. (a) [21, Example 8] and [38, Example 4.1] show that semi-continuity and a.c.S. are independent of each other.

(b) [37, Examples 2.3] shows that there exists an α -continuous mapping which is not continuous. Obviously, each continuous map is α -continuous.

(c) [31, Examples 3.1 & 3.2] show that semi-continuity and precontinuity are independent of each other.

If mappings $f_1, f_2: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ are both α -continuous (hence precontinuous), but the space (Y, σ) is not Hausdorff, then the set A from Theorems 7 and 8 may not be even semi-preclosed in (X, τ) . It is worth to see also [39, Theorem 4.9].

Example 1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\} = Y, \tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$. Define

$f_1, f_2 : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ as follows: $f_1(a) = b, f_2(a) = c, f_1(b) = f_2(b) = f_1(c) = f_2(c) = a$. Then f_1 and f_2 are α -continuous and the set $\{x \in X : f_1(x) = f_2(x)\} = \{b, c\} \notin \text{SPC}(X, \tau)$.

Using Theorem 8 and [15, Theorem 2.4] we slightly improve [15, Theorem 2.6(2)].

Corollary 3. Let (X, τ) be arbitrary, (Y, σ) be Hausdorff, and $f_1, f_2 : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$. If f_1 is α -continuous, f_2 is semi-continuous, and $f_1 = f_2$ on a dense subset of (X, τ) , then $f_1 = f_2$ on X .

Definition 1. A subset S of a space (X, τ) is said to be **p-dense** in (X, τ) if $\text{pcl}_\tau(S) = X$.

It is obvious that every subset p-dense in (X, τ) is dense in (X, τ) , but the converse is not always true.

Example 2. Consider the space \mathbf{R} of all reals with Euclidean topology τ_e , $S = \mathbf{Q}$ (\mathbf{Q} the set of all rationals). By [2, Theorem 1.5(e)] we have $\text{pcl}_{\tau_e}(S) = S$.

The next result follows immediately from Theorem 7.

Corollary 4. Let (X, τ) be arbitrary, (Y, σ) be Hausdorff, and $f_1, f_2 : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$. If f_1 is α -continuous, f_2 is precontinuous, and $f_1 = f_2$ on a p-dense subset of (X, τ) , then $f_1 = f_2$ on X .

Theorem 9. Let (X, τ) be arbitrary and $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. Then S is p-dense in (X, τ) if and only if S is dense in (X, τ) .

Proof. Sufficiency. Apply [2, Theorem 1.5(e)] and [34, Lemma 2].

Theorem 10. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a precontinuous surjection. If a set $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ is dense in (X, τ) , then $f(S)$ is dense in (Y, σ) .

Proof. [20, Proposition 3.1]. The reader is advised to compare the characterization (3) of precontinuous mappings given in [47, Theorem 6].

Theorem 11. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an α -precontinuous surjection. If a set $S \subset X$ is p-dense in (X, τ) , then $f(S)$ is dense in (Y, σ) .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1(3).

A space (X, τ) is called a \mathcal{D} -space [22] if each nonempty set $V \in \tau$ is dense in (X, τ) . By [22, Theorem 1] and [49, Theorem 17], being a \mathcal{D} -space, S -connectedness (X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint semi-open subsets), and *irreducibility* are equivalent.

A space (X, τ) is said to be *submaximal* if for every dense subset S of (X, τ) we have $S \in \tau$.

Theorem 12. Let (X, τ) be a \mathcal{D} -space and (Y, σ) be submaximal. If a surjection $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is precontinuous then f is open.

Proof. [20, Proposition 3.1] or [47, Theorem 6].

Mashour et al. [28, theorem 1] established the following characterization of precontinuous mappings: $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is precontinuous if and only if $f(\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(U))) \subset \text{cl}_\sigma(f(U))$ for every $U \subset X$. By this and by [2, Theorem 1.5(e)] one easily obtains the following.

Lemma 4. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any spaces. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is precontinuous if and only if $f(\text{pcl}_\tau(U)) \subset \text{cl}_\sigma(f(U))$ for every $U \subset X$.

Theorem 13. Let $f : (X_i, \tau_i) \rightarrow (Y_i, \sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be (X, τ) be precontinuous surjections. If a set $S_1 \times S_2 \subset X_1 \times X_2$ is p -dense in $(X_1 \times X_2, \tau_1 \times \tau_2)$ then the product's image $f(S_1 \times S_2)$ is dense in $(Y_1 \times Y_2, \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$.

Proof. Let a set $S_1 \times S_2 \subset X_1 \times X_2$ be p -dense in $X_1 \times X_2$. Utilizing [12, Lemma 5.2] and

Lemma 4 we get $Y_1 \times Y_2 = f(\text{pcl}(S_1 \times S_2)) \subset f(\text{pcl}_{\tau_1}(S_1) \times \text{pcl}_{\tau_2}(S_2)) = f_1(\text{pcl}_{\tau_1}(S_1)) \times f_2(\text{pcl}_{\tau_2}(S_2)) \subset \text{cl}_{\sigma_1}(f_1(S_1)) \times \text{cl}_{\sigma_2}(f_2(S_2)) = \text{cl}_{\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2}(f(S_1 \times S_2)).$

Theorem 14. Let (X_i, τ_i) be \mathcal{D} -spaces and (Y_i, σ_i) be submaximal, $i = 1, 2$. If mappings $f : (X_i, \tau_i) \rightarrow (Y_i, \sigma_i)$ are precontinuous surjections then the product mapping f is open.

Proof. Without difficulties we infer from [28, Theorem 1] that $f(U_1 \times U_2) \in \sigma_1 \times \sigma_2$ for any $U_1 \in \tau_1, U_2 \in \tau_2$; a calculation is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 13.

A space (X, τ) is said to be β -connected [44] if X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint semi-preopen subsets of (X, τ) . A space (X, τ) is β -disconnected if it is not β -connected.

Definiton 2. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be \mathcal{P} -open if $f(V) \in \sigma$ for each $V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

Recall that Jankovic [20] calls a mapping p -open if it preserves preopen sets. Obviously each \mathcal{P} -open mapping is open, but these concepts are strictly distinct.

Example 3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a, b, c\}, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$. The identity mapping $\text{id} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is open but not \mathcal{P} -open, because $\text{id}(\{b\}) = \{b\} \notin \tau$.

Theorem 15. Let (X, τ) be β -connected and (Y, σ) be submaximal. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a precontinuous surjection then it is \mathcal{P} -open.

Proof. We use [18, Theorem 3.1(2)] and Lemma 4.

We complete characterizations of β -connected spaces given in [18, Theorem 3.1] (see also [43]) with the following.

Theorem 16. *For any space (X, τ) the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ) is β -connected;
- (2) $\text{pint}(\text{pcl}(V)) = X$ for each nonempty $V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$;
- (3) $\text{spint}(\text{pcl}(V)) = X$ for each nonempty $V \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$;
- (4) $\text{pint}(\text{spcl}(V)) = X$ for each nonempty $V \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$;
- (5) $\text{spint}(\text{spcl}(V)) = X$ for each nonempty $V \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Use respective parts of [18, Theorem 3.1]

Lemma 5. *In every topological space (X, τ) and for any $W \subset X$ we have*

- (a) $\text{pcl}_\tau(\text{pint}_\tau(W)) \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$,
- (b) $\text{pcl}_\tau(\text{spint}_\tau(W)) \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$,
- (c) $\text{spcl}_\tau(\text{pint}_\tau(W)) \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$.

Proof. (a) By [2, Theorem 1.5(e)] we have what follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pcl}(\text{pint}(W)))))) &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(W) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{pint}(W)))))) \\ &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(W))) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{pint}(W)))) \\ &\supseteq \text{pint}(W) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{pint}(W))) = \text{pcl}(\text{pint}(W)), \end{aligned}$$

because $\text{pint}(W) \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

(b) By [2, Theorem 1.5(e)] we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pcl}(\text{spint}(W)))))) &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{spint}(W) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{spint}(W)))))) \\ &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{spint}(W))) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{spint}(W)))) \\ &\supseteq \text{spint}(W) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{spint}(W))) = \text{pcl}(\text{spint}(W)). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Applying [2, Theorem 2.15] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{spcl}(\text{pint}(W)))))) &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(W) \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{pint}(W))))))) \\ &= \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pint}(W))) \cup \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{pint}(W)))) \\ &\supseteq \text{pint}(W) \cup \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{pint}(W)))) = \text{spcl}(\text{pint}(W)). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 17. *Let (X, τ) be β -disconnected. Then, the space X allows the following partitions [9, p.13]:*

- (a) $\{\text{pint}(\text{pcl}(S)), \text{pcl}(\text{pint}(X \setminus S))\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ for a certain nonempty $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$,
- (b) $\{\text{pint}(\text{spcl}(S)), \text{pcl}(\text{spint}(X \setminus S))\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ for a certain nonempty $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$,
- (c) $\{\text{spint}(\text{pcl}(S)), \text{spcl}(\text{pint}(X \setminus S))\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ for a certain nonempty $S \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$,
- (d) $\{\text{spint}(\text{spcl}(S)), \text{spcl}(\text{spint}(X \setminus S))\} \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ for a certain nonempty $S \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$.

Proof. (a) From β -disconnectedness of (X, τ) and from Theorem 16(2') we infer that there exists a nonempty $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ such that $U_1 = \text{pint}(\text{pcl}(S)) \neq X$. Obviously $U_1 \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$. We shall show that $U_1 \neq \emptyset$. Suppose not. By [2, Theorem 1.5(f)] We get $\emptyset = \text{pcl}(S) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pcl}(S)))$. Applying [39, Lemma 3.5] Lemma 3.5] we have $\emptyset = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{pcl}(S))) \supset S$ and so $S = \emptyset$. A contradiction. Put now $U_2 = X \setminus \text{pint}(\text{pcl}(S)) = \text{pcl}(\text{pint}(X \setminus S))$. Clearly, $X \neq U_2 \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 5(a), $U_2 \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$.

Proofs for (b) – (d) are similar to the above. We use respective parts of Theorem 16, Lemma 5, and [18, Lemma 3.1].

(b) We shall show only that $U_1 = \text{pint}(\text{spcl}(S)) \neq \emptyset$, where a nonempty $S \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ is such that $U_1 \neq X$. Suppose not. By [2, Theorem 1.5(f)] and [39, Lemma 3.5] we obtain $\emptyset = \text{spcl}(S) \cap \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{spcl}(S))) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{spcl}(S)))$. So, $\emptyset = \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{spcl}(S)))) \supset \text{spcl}(S)$ [18, Lemma 3.1(2)], a contradiction.

(c) We shall show only that $U_1 = \text{spint}(\text{pcl}(S)) \neq \emptyset$, where a nonempty $S \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ is such that $U_1 \neq X$. Suppose not. By [2, Theorem 3.21(a)] we have $\emptyset = \text{spint}(\text{pcl}(S)) \supset \text{spint}(\text{spcl}(S)) \supset S$, a contradiction.

(d) Let $U_1 = \text{spint}(\text{spcl}(S))$, where a nonempty $S \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ is such that $U_1 \neq X$. Suppose $U_1 = \emptyset$. Then $\emptyset = \text{spint}(\text{spcl}(S)) \supset S$ [2, Theorem 3.21(a)], a contradiction.

Corollary 5. *If an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a not \mathcal{P} -open precontinuous surjection and (Y, σ) is submaximal, then X allows the partitions (a) – (d) from Theorem 17.*

Proof. By Theorem 15.

Definition 3. *A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be pre- α -open if $f(S) \in \sigma^\alpha$ for each $S \in \tau^\alpha$.*

Theorem 18. *Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be open and precontinuous. Then it is pre- α -open.*

Proof. Let a set $A \in \tau^\alpha$ be arbitrarily chosen. By [39, Lemma 4.12(1)] there exists a $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subset A \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(U))$. Since f is open, it follows from [36, Lemma 1.4] that

$f(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(U))) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(f(\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(U)))) = \text{int}_\sigma(f(\text{cl}_\tau(U)))$. Since f is precontinuous, $\text{int}_\sigma(f(\text{cl}_\tau(U))) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(f(U)))$ [47, Theorem 6.(3)]. Finally, we obtain $f(U) \subset f(A) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(f(U)))$ and hence, by [39, Lemma 4.12(1)], $f(A) \in \sigma^\alpha$.

The notions of openness and pre- α -openness are independent of each other.

Example 4. (a) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Then, the identity mapping $\text{id} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is open, but it is not pre- α -open since $\text{id}(\{a, b\}) \notin \sigma^\alpha$.

(b) Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. Then, the identity mapping $\text{id} : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is pre- α -open and not open since $\text{id}(\{a, b\}) \notin \sigma$.

A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be *weakly open* [46] (resp. *preopen* [28]) if $f(U) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(f(\text{cl}_\tau(U)))$ (resp. $f(U) \in \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$) for every set $U \in \tau$. Each pre- α -open mapping is preopen, but the converse doesn't hold (Example 4(a)).

Theorem 19. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is weakly open and precontinuous, then it is preopen.

Proof. Let $U \in \tau$ be arbitrary. By [47, Theorem 6(3)] we have $f(U) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(f(\text{cl}_\tau(U))) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(f(U)))$.

In [47, Theorem 11], it is shown that preopenness and the so-called *a.o.W.* property [50] are equivalent notions. Recall that weak openness and a.o.W. are independent of each other [36, p. 315].

5. α -IRRESOLUTNESS OF MAPPINGS

A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be *semi-open* [5] (resp. *almost open in the sense of Singal and Singal* [48] or briefly *a.o.S.*) if $f(U) \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ (resp. $f(U) \in \sigma$) for every set $U \in \tau$ (resp. $U \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$). The concepts of preopenness, semi-openness, and a.o.S. are pairwise independent [36].

Lemma 6. If an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is preopen and precontinuous, then for each set $S \in \sigma^\alpha$ there exists a $U_s \in \sigma$ with

$$(5) \quad f^{-1}(U_s) \subset f^{-1}(S) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))).$$

Proof. Let an $S \in \sigma^\alpha$ be arbitrarily chosen. By [39, Lemma 4.12(1)] there exists a set $U_s \in \sigma$ such that $U_s \subset S \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))$. Since f is precontinuous, using [47, Theorem 11] we obtain

$$f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s)))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))).$$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 7. *If an $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is semi-open and precontinuous, then for each set $S \in \sigma^\alpha$ there exists a $U_s \in \sigma$ that satisfies (5).*

Proof. Let an $S \in \sigma^\alpha$. By [39, Lemma 4.12(1)] there exists a set $U_s \in \sigma$ such that $U_s \subset S \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))$. Since f is precontinuous, we have

$$f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s)))).$$

It follows by [39, Lemma 4.14] (or by [2, Theorem 1.5(a)]) that $f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))))$. From [33, Theorem 2] we infer that $f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)))$. Inclusions $f^{-1}(U_s) \subset f^{-1}(S) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)))$ complete the proof.

Lemma 7 slightly improves a respective part of the proof of [39, Theorem 4.16].

Lemma 8. *If a bijection $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a.o.S. and precontinuous, then for each set $S \in \sigma^\alpha$ there exists a $U_s \in \sigma$ that satisfies (5).*

Proof. Let an $S \in \sigma^\alpha$. There exists a set $U_s \in \sigma$ such that $U_s \subset S \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))$. We have $f^{-1}(U_s) \subset \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))))$ since by hypothesis $f^{-1}(U_s) \in \text{PO}(X, \tau) \subset \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$. Put $F = Y \setminus f(X \setminus \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))))$. Hence $f(X \setminus f^{-1}(U_s)) \supset f(X \setminus \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)))) = Y \setminus F$. It implies that $F \supset Y \setminus (f(X) \setminus U_s) = U_s$. The set F is closed in (Y, σ) , because $\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)))) \in \text{RC}(X, \tau)$ and f is a.o.S. Thus, $\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s) \subset F$. Furthermore, we have $f^{-1}(F) = \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))))$. Finally, we obtain $f^{-1}(U_s) \subset f^{-1}(S) \subset f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s)))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(\text{cl}_\sigma(U_s)))) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(F))) = \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)))$. This completes the proof.

Every α -irresolute mapping is semi-continuous but even a continuous mapping must not be α -irresolute [27, Example 1]. Every α -irresolute mapping is precontinuous and the converse is not necessarily true [4, Remark].

Theorem 20. *Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be precontinuous, semi-continuous (equiv. α -continuous [39, Theorem 3.2]), and let f be either*

- (a) *preopen or*
- (b) *semi-open [39, Theorem 4.16].*

Then f is α -irresolute.

Proof. Consider by turns the inclusions (5) from Lemmas 6, 7:

$$f^{-1}(U_s) \subset f^{-1}(S) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))),$$

where $S \in \sigma^\alpha$ and $U_s \in \sigma$. Since f is semi-continuous, $\text{cl}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)) = \text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)))$ [34, Lemma 2]. Thus

$$\text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s)) \subset f^{-1}(S) \subset \text{int}_\tau(\text{cl}_\tau(\text{int}_\tau(f^{-1}(U_s))))).$$

By [39, Lemma 4.12(1)], f is α -irresolute.

Remark 5. Obviously, Lemmas 6, 7 and Theorem 20 hold if we replace 'precontinuous' by ' α -precontinuous'. In [4, Examples 2.1 & 2.2] it has been shown that α -precontinuity and semi-continuity are independent notions.

Noiri has established that each a.o.S. and α -continuous mapping is α -irresolute [39, Theorem 4.13]. Since the proof of this result is not clear (on a certain stage), we shall prove it in a different way.

Lemma 9. For a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is α -irresolute.
- (b) $f(\text{cl}_{\tau^\alpha}(A)) \subset \text{Cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(f(A))$ for each $A \subset X$.
- (c) $f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subset \text{cl}_{\sigma^\alpha}(f(A))$ for each $A \subset X$.

Proof. (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). Obvious.

(b) \Leftrightarrow (c). Apply [2, Theorem 1.5(c)].

Proof of [39, Theorem 4.13]. We have $f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ for each subset $A \subset X$, because f is α -continuous [30, Theorem 1.1(iv)]. Since f is a.o.S., we get

$$\text{cl}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A))))) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A)))).$$

Utilizing [30, Theorem 1.1(iv)] once more, one easily obtains that $f(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(f(A)))) \cup f(A)$ for each $A \subset X$. So, by [2, Theorem 1.5(c)] and Lemma 9(c), f is α -irresolute.

6. HAUSDORFFNESS AND NORMALITY OF SPACES

Definition 4. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be **p-Hausdorff** if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$ there exist disjoint sets $U_x, U_y \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ with $x \in U_x$ and $y \in U_y$.

Each Hausdorff space is p-Hausdorff. The converse is false in general, as the following example shows.

Example 5. Consider a space (X, τ) where $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

The concepts of p-hausdorffness and the so-called *semi-Hausdorffness* [26], are independent of each other. It is evident by Example 5 and [26, Example 4.1].

Theorem 21. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a precontinuous injection. If (Y, σ) is Hausdorff then (X, τ) is p -Hausdorff.*

Proof. Omitted.

The results we complete this section with, hold also in some other cases; in particular, for completely continuous mappings (see Remark 2 and [40, Diagram p.249]).

Theorem 22. *If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is an a.c.S. injection and (Y, σ) is Hausdorff, then (X, τ) is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Use [48, Theorem 2.2(b)] and [10, Lemma 4].

Lemma 10. *A Hausdorff space (X, τ) is normal if and only if for each pair of disjoint sets, $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{c}(X, \tau)$ there exist disjoint $U_1, U_2 \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ with $F_1 \subset U_1$ and $F_2 \subset U_2$.*

Proof. Similar to that of [10, Lemma 4].

Theorem 23. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an a.c.S. closed injection. If (Y, σ) is normal then (X, τ) is normal.*

Proof. Use [48, Theorem 2.2(b)] and Lemma 10.

Recently, the author has introduced the concept of closed-open mappings [10, Definition 1].

Definition 5. *A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ will be called **closed- α -open** if the image $f(F) \in \sigma^\alpha$ for each $F \in \mathcal{c}(X, \tau)$.*

Each closed-open mapping is closed- α -open. The converse doesn't hold.

Example 6. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. Define the $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ via $f(a) = c$, $f(b) = a$, $f(c) = b$. Then, f is closed- α -open but not closed-open, because $f(\{b, c\}) \in \tau^\alpha \setminus \tau$.*

Theorem 24. *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an a.c.S. closed- α -open injection. If (Y, σ) is Hausdorff, then (X, τ) is normal.*

Proof. Hausdorffness of (X, τ) is clear by Theorem 22. Let $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{c}(X, \tau)$ be disjoint. We have $f(F_1) \cap f(F_2) = \emptyset$ and there exist sets $G_i \in \sigma$ such that $G_i \subset f(F_i) \subset \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(G_i))$, $i = 1, 2$ [39, Lemma 4.12(1)]. Since $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$, it follows that

$$\text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(G_1)) \cap \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(G_2)) = \emptyset.$$

The sets $U_i = \text{int}_\sigma(\text{cl}_\sigma(G_i)) \in \text{RO}(Y, \sigma)$, $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, we obtain for both i 's that $F_i \subset f^{-1}(U_i) \in \tau$ [48, Theorem 2.2(b)] and $f^{-1}(U_1) \cap f^{-1}(U_2) = \emptyset$. This shows that (X, τ) is normal.

REFERENCES

1. M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, R. A. Mahmoud, β -open sets and β -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12(1) (1983), 77-90.
2. D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik, 38 (1986), 24-32.
3. S. P. Arya, R. Gupta, *On strongly continuous mappings*, Kyungpook Math. J., 14 (1974), 131-143.
4. Y. Beceren, T. Noiri, *On α -precontinuous functions*, Far East J. Math. Sci., Special Volume III (2000), 295-303.
5. N. Biswas, *On some mappings in topological spaces*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 61 (1969), 127-135.
6. N. Biswas, *On some mappings in topological spaces*, Thesis for D. Ph. Arts Degree, Univ. of Calcutta 1970.
7. J. Dontchev, *Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Mth. Sci., 19(2) (1996), 303-310.
8. J. Dontchev, T. Noiri, *contra-semicontinuous functions*, Math. Pannonica, 10(2) (1999), 159-168.
9. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn & Bacon, Inc., Boston 1966.
10. Z. Duszynski¹, *Some remarks on almost α -continuous functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., 44(2) (2004); 249-260.
11. Z. Duszynski, *Properties of prealmost α -continuous and presemi-weakly continuous functions*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 105(3) (2004), 231-239.
12. S. N. El-Deeb, I. A. Hasanein, A. S. Mashhour, T. Noiri, *On p-regular spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie, 27(75)(4) (1983), 311-315.
13. M. Ganster, *Preopen sets and resolvable spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., 27(2) (1987), 135-143.
14. G. L. Garg, D. Sivaraj, *Semitopological properties*, Mat. Vesnik, 36 (1984), 137-142.
15. T. R. Hamlett, *Semi continuous functions*, Math. Chronicle, 4 (1976), 101-107.
16. T. Husain, *Almost continuous mappings*, Prace Mat., 10 (1966), 1-7.
17. S. Jafari, T. Noiri, *On contra-precontinuous functions*, Bul. Malaysian Math. Sci. Soc., 25 (2002), 115-128.
18. S. Jafari, T. Noiri, *Properties of β -connected spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., 101(3) (2003), 227-236.

1. The reader is requested to use the correct official spelling of author's name, i.e., Duszynski.

19. D. S. Janković, *On locally irreducible spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, **97**(2) (1983), 59-72.
20. D. S. Janković, *A note on mappings of extremally disconnected spaces*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, **46**(1-2) (1985), 83-92.
21. N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36-41.
22. N. Levine, *Dense topologies*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **75** (1968), 847-852.
23. P. E. Long, D. A. Carnahan, *Comparing almost continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **38**(2) (1973), 413-418.
24. P. E. Long, L. L. Herrington, *Properties of almost-continuous functions*, Bolletino U.M.I., **10**(4) (1974), 336-342.
25. P. E. Long, E. E. McGehee Jr. *Properties of almost continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 175-180.
26. S. N. Maheshwari, R. Prasad, *Some new separation axioms*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, **89**(3) (1975), 395-402.
27. S. N. Maheshwari, S. S. Thakur, *On α -irresolute mappings*, Tamkang J. Math., **11** (1980), 209-214.
28. A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47-53.
29. A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, S. N. El-Deeb, *A note on semi-continuity and precontinuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **13**(10) (1982), 1119-1123.
30. A. S. Mashhour, I. A. Hasanein, S. N. El-Deeb, *α -continuous and α -open mappings*, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, **41** (1983), 213-218.
31. A. Neubrunnová, *On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity*, Mat Časopis, **23**(4) (1973), 374-380.
32. O. Njåstad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961-970.
33. T. Noiri, *Remarks on semi-open mappings*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **65** (1973), 197-201.
34. T. Noiri, *On semi-continuous mappings*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat., **54** (1973), 210-214.
35. T. Noiri, *On weakly continuous mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **46**(1) (1974), 120-124.
36. T. Noiri, *Semi-continuity and weak-continuity*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **31** (106) (1981), 314-321.
37. T. Noiri, *A function which preserves connected spaces*, Čas. pěst. mat., **107** (1982), 393-396.
38. T. Noiri, *Almost-open functions*, Indian J. Math., **25**(1) (1983), 73-79.

39. T. Noiri, *On α -continuous functions*, Čas. pěst. mat., **109** (1984), 118-126.
40. T. Noiri, *Super-continuity and some strong forms of continuity*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **15**(3), (1984), 241-250.
41. T. Noiri, *Almost α -continuous functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **28**(1) (1988), 71-77.
42. T. Noiri, B. Ahmad, *On semi-weakly continuous mappings*, Kyungpook Math. J., **25**(2) (1985), 123-126.
43. T. Noiri, *Properties of hyperconnected sets*, Acta Math. Hungar., **66** (1995), 147-154.
44. V. Popa, T. Noiri, *Weakly β -continuous functions*, An. Univ. Timișoara Ser. Mat. Inform., **32** (1994), 83-92.
45. I. L. Reilly, M. K. Vamanamurthy, *Connectedness and strong semi-continuity*, Čas. pěst. mat., **109** (1984), 261-265.
46. D. A. Rose, *Weak openness and almost openness*, Internat. J. Math & Math. Sci., **7**(1) (1984), 35-40.
47. D. A. Rose, *Weak continuity and almost continuity*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **7**(2) (1984), 311-318.
48. M. K. Singal, Asha Rani Singal, *Almost-continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J., **16** (1968), 63-73.
49. T. Thompson, *Characterizations of irreducible spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., **21** (2) (1981), 191-194.
50. A. Wilansky, *Topics in functional analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **45**, Springer-Verlag 1967.

Casimirus The Great University
Department of Mathematics
PL. Weysenhoffa 11
85-072 Bydgoszcz
Poland