

ON THE PRODUCT OF FUZZY SUBSETS

M. K. CHAKRABORTY and MILI DAS

1. Introduction.

Since the introduction of the concept of fuzzy subsets by Zadeh [8] in 1965, many researchers have contributed to the development of the theory in various directions and the theory has been found to be extremely fruitful in the application field ([1], [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [9]). We know that if U be the reference set, any ordinary subset A of U can be represented by the corresponding characteristic function f_A mapping the elements of U to the set $\{0, 1\}$. If instead, a function f_A maps U to the closed interval $[0, 1]$, the parallel notion is called a fuzzy subset of U and will be denoted by \underline{A} . Equality, inclusion, union, intersection and complementation are defined as below

- Def. (i) $\underline{A} = \underline{B} \iff f_{\underline{A}}(x) = f_{\underline{B}}(x)$ for all $x \in U$
(ii) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B} \iff f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq f_{\underline{B}}(x)$ for all $x \in U$
(iii) $\underline{A} \cup \underline{B} \iff \max [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(x)]$ for all $x \in U$
(iv) $\underline{A} \cap \underline{B} \iff \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(x)]$ for all $x \in U$
(v) $\overline{\underline{A}} \iff 1 - f_{\underline{A}}(x)$ for all $x \in U$.

The notion of fuzzy subset and the above definitions obviously generalise the theory of ordinary subsets of a set.

The notion of fuzzy relation among members of an ordinary set and some immediate consequences have been brilliantly studied by Kaufmann [5] and some further developments in this respect have been made in [2]. A fuzzy relation in an ordinary set S is a fuzzy subset of the product $S \times S$. The product of fuzzy subsets has, however, not been defined so far. In this paper we shall introduce this notion and shall derive some theorems showing thereby analogies and departures from ordinary set theory.

2. Product of fuzzy subsets.

Definition. Let U be the reference set and $[0, 1]$ the membership set. Let \underline{A} and \underline{B} be fuzzy subsets of U defined by the membership functions $f_{\underline{A}}$ and $f_{\underline{B}}$ respectively. The product $\underline{A} \times \underline{B}$ is the fuzzy subset of $U \times U$ defined by the membership function

$$f_{\underline{A} \times \underline{B}} : f_{\underline{A} \times \underline{B}}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(y)] \text{ for all } x, y \in U.$$

Example : Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$,

$$\underline{A} : f_{\underline{A}}(a) = \cdot 1, f_{\underline{A}}(b) = \cdot 2, f_{\underline{A}}(c) = 0, f_{\underline{A}}(d) = 1,$$

$$\underline{B} : f_{\underline{B}}(a) = 0, f_{\underline{B}}(b) = 1, f_{\underline{B}}(c) = 1, f_{\underline{B}}(d) = \cdot 5.$$

Then $\underline{A} \times \underline{B}$ is the fuzzy subset of $U \times U$ defined by the membership function $f_{\underline{A} \times \underline{B}}$ which maps

$$\begin{array}{llll} (a,a) \rightarrow 0 & (b,a) \rightarrow 0 & (c,a) \rightarrow 0 & (d,a) \rightarrow 0 \\ (a,b) \rightarrow \cdot 1 & (b,b) \rightarrow \cdot 2 & (c,b) \rightarrow 0 & (d,b) \rightarrow 1 \\ (a,c) \rightarrow \cdot 1 & (b,c) \rightarrow \cdot 2 & (c,c) \rightarrow 0 & (d,c) \rightarrow 1 \\ (a,d) \rightarrow \cdot 1 & (b,d) \rightarrow \cdot 2 & (c,d) \rightarrow 0 & (d,d) \rightarrow \cdot 5. \end{array}$$

This is a straightway generalisation of the cartesian product of two ordinary subsets of U .

The following results follow directly from the definition.

- Theorem 2.1.**
- (i) $\underline{A} \times \underline{B} \neq \underline{B} \times \underline{A}$
 - (ii) $(\underline{A} \times \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} = \underline{A} \times (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})$
 - (iii) $\underline{A} \times \underline{\phi} = \underline{\phi} \times \underline{A} = \underline{\phi}$, where $\underline{\phi}$ is the null fuzzy set.
 - (iv) $f_{\underline{A} \times U}(x,y) = f_{\underline{A}}(x)$ for all pairs x,y of U .

We give below some more results that hold also in case of ordinary set theory.

- Theorem 2.2.**
- (i) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B} \Rightarrow \underline{A} \times \underline{C} \subseteq \underline{B} \times \underline{C}$
and $\underline{C} \times \underline{A} \subseteq \underline{C} \times \underline{B}$
 - (ii) $(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \times (\underline{C} \cap \underline{D}) = (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{D})$
 - (iii) $(\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) \times (\underline{C} \cup \underline{D}) \neq (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cup (\underline{B} \times \underline{D})$
 - (iv) $(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} = (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})$
 $\underline{C} \times (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) = (\underline{C} \times \underline{A}) \cap (\underline{C} \times \underline{B})$
 - (v) $\underline{A} \times (\underline{B} \cup \underline{C}) = (\underline{A} \times \underline{B}) \cup (\underline{A} \times \underline{C})$
 $(\underline{B} \cup \underline{C}) \times \underline{A} = (\underline{B} \times \underline{A}) \cup (\underline{C} \times \underline{A}).$

Proof. (i) $\underline{A} \subseteq \underline{B}$ implies $f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq f_{\underline{B}}(x)$ for all x in U .

Now,
and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} f_{\underline{A} \times \underline{C}}(x,y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] \\ f_{\underline{B} \times \underline{C}}(x,y) = \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] \end{array} \right\} \text{ for all } x,y \in U.$$

Case I. $f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq f_{\underline{C}}(y)$.

Then $f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq f_{\underline{C}}(y)$ and $f_{\underline{B}}(x)$.

Hence $f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)]$.

Hence $\min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] = f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)]$.

Case II. $f_{\underline{C}}(y) < f_{\underline{A}}(x) \leq f_{\underline{B}}(x)$.

Obviously, $\min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] = \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)]$.

So, $\underline{A} \times \underline{C} \subseteq \underline{B} \times \underline{C}$.

Similarly, $\underline{C} \times \underline{A} \subseteq \underline{C} \times \underline{B}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad f_{(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \times (\underline{C} \cap \underline{D})}(x, y) &= \min [\min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(x)), \min (f_{\underline{C}}(y), f_{\underline{D}}(y))] \\ &= \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y), f_{\underline{D}}(y)] \\ &= \min [\min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)), \min (f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{D}}(y))] \\ &= f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{D})}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad f_{(\underline{A} \cup \underline{B}) \times (\underline{C} \cup \underline{D})}(x, y) &= \min [\max (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(x)), \max (f_{\underline{C}}(y), f_{\underline{D}}(y))] \\ f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cup (\underline{B} \times \underline{D})}(x, y) &= \max [\min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)), \min (f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{D}}(y))]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $f_{\underline{A}}(x) = 1$, $f_{\underline{B}}(x) = 0$, $f_{\underline{C}}(y) = 0$, $f_{\underline{D}}(y) = 1$, it is clear that the two membership functions are not same.

$$\text{(iv)} \quad (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} = (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) \times (\underline{C} \cap \underline{C}) = (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{C}) \text{ by (ii).}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \underline{C} \times (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}) = (\underline{C} \times \underline{A}) \cap (\underline{C} \times \underline{B}).$$

$$\text{(v)} \quad f_{\underline{A} \times (\underline{B} \cup \underline{C})}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), \max (f_{\underline{B}}(y), f_{\underline{C}}(y))].$$

$$f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{B}) \cup (\underline{A} \times \underline{C})}(x, y) = \max [\min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(y)), \min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y))].$$

There are six possibilities

$$f_{\underline{A}}(x) \geq f_{\underline{B}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{C}}(y)$$

$$f_{\underline{A}}(x) \geq f_{\underline{C}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{B}}(y)$$

$$f_{\underline{B}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{A}}(x) \geq f_{\underline{C}}(y)$$

$$f_{\underline{B}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{C}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{A}}(x)$$

$$f_{\underline{C}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{A}}(x) \geq f_{\underline{B}}(y)$$

$$f_{\underline{C}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{B}}(y) \geq f_{\underline{A}}(x).$$

In all the cases the above membership functions are identical.

Definition. The difference, disjunctive sum, algebraic product and algebraic sum of two fuzzy sets have been defined respectively as follows [5].

$$\underline{A} - \underline{B} = \underline{A} \cap \underline{B}^-$$

$$\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B} = (\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}^-) \cup (\bar{A} \cap \underline{B}).$$

$$\underline{A} \cdot \underline{B} \text{ by the membership function } f_{\underline{A}}(x) \cdot f_{\underline{B}}(x).$$

$$\underline{A} \hat{+} \underline{B} \text{ by } f_{\underline{A}}(x) + f_{\underline{B}}(x) - f_{\underline{A}}(x) \cdot f_{\underline{B}}(x).$$

The following theorem contains the departure from the theory of ordinary sets.

- Theorem 2.2.**
- (i) $(\underline{A} - \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} \neq (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) - (\underline{B} \times \underline{C}).$
 - (ii) $(\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} \neq (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \oplus (\underline{B} \times \underline{C}).$
 - (iii) $(\underline{A} \cdot \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} \neq (\underline{A} \cdot \underline{C}) \times (\underline{B} \cdot \underline{C}).$
 - (iv) $(\underline{A} \hat{+} \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} \neq (\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \hat{+} (\underline{B} \times \underline{C}).$

Proof :

$$(1) f_{(\underline{A}-\underline{B}) \times \underline{C}}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}^-}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] \\ = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), 1 - f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)].$$

and $f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) - (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})}(x, y) = \min [\min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)), 1 - \min (f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y))].$

Taking $f_{\underline{A}}(x) = .5$, $f_{\underline{B}}(x) = 1$, $f_{\underline{C}}(y) = .5$ the non-identity of the above membership functions are established.

$$(ii) (\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B}) \times \underline{C} = [(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}^-) \cup (\bar{A} \cap \underline{B})] \times \underline{C} \\ = [(\underline{A} \cap \underline{B}^-) \times \underline{C}] \cup [(\bar{A} \cap \underline{B}) \times \underline{C}] \quad \text{by th. 2.2} \\ = [(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B}^- \times \underline{C})] \cup [(\bar{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})] \\ \text{by th. 2.2}$$

So $f_{(\underline{A} \oplus \underline{B}) \times \underline{C}}(x, y) =$

$$\max [\min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), 1 - f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)), \min (1 - f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y))],$$

and $(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \oplus (\underline{B} \times \underline{C}) =$

$$[(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})] \cup [(\bar{A} \times \underline{C}) \cap (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})].$$

So $f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \oplus (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})}(x, y) =$

$$\max [\min \{ \min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)), 1 - \min (f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)) \}, \\ \min \{ 1 - \min (f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)), \min (f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)) \}].$$

The non-identity of the two functions is proved by taking

$$f_{\underline{A}}(x) = \cdot 9, f_{\underline{B}}(x) = \cdot 8, f_{\underline{C}}(y) = \cdot 3.$$

$$(iii) f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{B}) \times \underline{C}}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x) \cdot f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)].$$

$$f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \cdot (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] \cdot \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)].$$

$$\text{Take } f_{\underline{A}}(x) = \cdot 5, f_{\underline{B}}(x) = \cdot 5, f_{\underline{C}}(y) = \cdot 1.$$

$$(iv) f_{(\underline{A} \hat{+} \underline{B}) \times \underline{C}}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x) + f_{\underline{B}}(x) - f_{\underline{A}}(x) \cdot f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)].$$

$$f_{(\underline{A} \times \underline{C}) \hat{+} (\underline{B} \times \underline{C})}(x, y) = \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] + \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] \\ - \min [f_{\underline{A}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)] \cdot \min [f_{\underline{B}}(x), f_{\underline{C}}(y)].$$

$$\text{Take } f_{\underline{A}}(x) = 1, f_{\underline{B}}(x) = 1, f_{\underline{C}}(y) = \cdot 5.$$

Remarks. In ordinary set theory equality holds in all the cases stated in theorem 2.3. Algebraic product and sum reduce to intersection and union when the sets are ordinary.

3. Conclusion.

Fuzzy relation between two ordinary sets has been extensively studied ([5], [2]). With the introduction of the product of two fuzzy subsets, a natural possibility has developed to think about the concept of relation between two fuzzy subsets that can be defined as a fuzzy subset of the product. Reflexivity, symmetry etc. may then be defined and corresponding results obtained in this direction will be presented in a future publication.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bellman, R. E. & Zadeh, L. A.—Decision making in a fuzzy environment, *Management Science*, **17** (4) Dec. 1970, 141-164.
- [2] Chakraborty, M. K. & Sen. D.—An introduction to the theory of Fuzzy sets and its Applications, *Proceedings of the Second Mathematics Conference, Bangladesh Math. Society, Dacca, October 1980.*
- [3] Chang, C. L.—Fuzzy Topological spaces, *Jour. Math. Anal. and Appl.* ; **24** (1968), 182-190.
- [4] Goguen, J. A.—L-Fuzzy sets, *Jour. Math. Anal. and Appl.* **18** (1967), 145-174.
- [5] Kaufmann, A.—Introduction to the theory of Fuzzy subsets, Vol. I, Acad. Press Inc, 1975.
- [6] Tanaka K & Mijumato M.—Fuzzy programs and their executions. *Fuzzy Sets and their applications to Cognitive and Decision Process*, Acad. Press Inc. 1975.

- [7] Wong C. K.—Covering properties of fuzzy topological spaces, *Jour. Math. Anal. & Appl.* **43** (1973), 697-704.
- [8] Zadeh, L. A.—Fuzzy sets ; *Information and control*, **8** (1965) 338-353.
- [9] ————— ; A Fuzzy-algorithmic approach to the definition of complex and imprecise concepts ; *Int. Jour. Man-Machine studies*, **8** (1976), 249-291.

Received
6. 7. 81

Dept. of Pure Math.
Calcutta University